



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/753,069

01/08/2004

Clifford J. Weber

00322.0008.CPUS01

5428

22930 7590 05/06/2009  
HOWREY LLP - East  
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT  
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DR, SUITE 200  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924

EXAMINER

PERRY, LINDA C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3695

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/06/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/753,069	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WEBER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LINDA PERRY	<b>Art Unit</b> 3695	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LINDA PERRY. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) MCHEAL STIMSON, Esq.. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-99.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Stimson asked whether 101 rejections had been overcome by 2/26/2009 amendments; when told they had not, he offered to amend again today and also file a declaration today including secondary evidence explaining why the claims were distinguishable over the prior art used in last (Non-Final) Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles R. Kyle/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695